

A. & G. W. INVESTIGATION

(Continued from Page Two)

Mr. Walsh—Yes, my lord, that member of the legislature.

Mr. Justice Scott—We are of opinion that he is not justifying his position in answer to the question for this reason, that a communication to him, and a third party would not be in contact between himself and his client. Under the circumstances, I do not see why you should refuse to give the information. Mr. Henwood?

Mr. Walsh—I would ask your honor that question?

Mr. Bigger—My lord, I have been instructed for the last few minutes by my friends on my left from instructing myself into this discussion. The client for whom I act in this connection is sitting behind me and I am prepared to give all the information that I have. I have most of the information that can in any event be useful to the papers that are under discussion.

Mr. Justice Scott—Is that satisfactory?

Mr. Walsh—Do I understand that Mr. Bigger is acting for the parties who were Mr. Henwood's clients in the matter?

Mr. Bigger—No, I was on the opposite side.

Mr. Walsh—I would like an answer to this question. I have put to Mr. Henwood that I probably that would be sufficient for the present.

The Witness—I had a communication with Mr. Corwall.

Mr. J. K. Corwall?

Respecting the matters dealt with in the correspondence forwarded to you by Mr. Davidson?

Yes.

Mr. Walsh—I understand Mr. Bigger has referred to the fact that the information which he thinks should be conveyed from the other side relative to this matter.

Mr. Bigger—I would like to do it right away as a witness if Mr. Walsh would be satisfied with that.

Mr. Walsh—I am not rather not do it in that way, Mr. Bigger.

Mr. Bigger—My client, for when I was acting, I was not acting in that way and it was for that purpose that I made the statement.

Mr. Walsh—Have you any objection to letting me see the papers?

Mr. Bigger—I have no objection to letting you see them.

Mr. Walsh—Perhaps you will let me have the main subject of the inquiry if we have not the papers your offer may be taken advantage of or I may make at the present time it is proper to go into that.

Mr. Bigger—If it is to be taken advantage of it seems to me it should be taken advantage of by the party who has the papers and I would have the paper of water handy.

Mr. Justice Harvey—Are you afraid they get beyond your control?

Mr. Bigger—No, but I understand we are going to subpoena now for about two weeks.

Mr. Walsh—I do not think I can carry the matter through with Mr. Henwood at the present time. I will consult with my colleague about Mr. Bigger's proposition.

The Cross-Examination.

Was a copy made of these documents while in your possession?

Yes.

By whom?

I understood that my clerk made a copy.

For what purpose?

For thought it would be well to have a copy of them.

Have you got the copy?

No, I have not.

Who has the copy?

It was destroyed.

Who destroyed it?

My clerk.

Oh, some ten days ago.

Why?

Under instructions.

From whom?

From my principal in Toronto.

By letter or wire, or how?

By telegram.

Under instructions from my principal in Toronto the only copy extant had been destroyed?

The material was returned by him.

You had kept a copy of it?

Yes.

When did you return the originals to Toronto?

On the 15th of March.

Had you any instructions about making a copy and keeping it when you were asked for the originals?

Why did you make a copy then?

You would ask your copy to protect me in the matter.

Mr. Mackenzie—The witness has not objected himself.

You were asked to return the originals and you have given your copy in your possession, is that right?

I had when I returned the originals.

You made the copy after you returned the originals.

No, when the originals were returned to my copy in my possession was destroyed.

Copy for Protection.

What did you not understand in the first place, for what purpose, to have the originals?

I made it for my own protection, simply.

Has there anything requiring protection that necessitated your making a copy?

No, I don't think I will say any further.

Is there anything that necessitated your making a copy? You say for your protection? Was that copy necessary?

Well, I felt that it was.

When were the originals returned?

On the date that I gave you.

What date was that?

Not so far as I know. The last information that I had was this offer for \$25,000 and then was made.

The 15th of March?

Yes.

Did anybody see a copy except yourself and the stenographer who made it?

No.

Who Saw the Originals?

Did anybody see the originals while they were in your possession?

Yes, I shall object to answer any further.

I make the suggestion will you answer I am right or wrong?

Yes, I say anything further.

Cross-examination.

Did you show these papers to any member of the legislature while they were here, the originals?

Yes, I don't know that I should go any further into the matter.

Mr. Justice—My lord, I think I am justified in asking if he has shown these papers to any member of the legislature.

Mr. Justice Scott—We will not insist on his answering that question.

Mr. Justice—Did he show these papers to any member of the government?

Mr. Justice Scott—That is the same question, is it not?

Mr. Justice—If he ever showed these documents of papers to any member of the government?

Mr. Justice—Do I decline to answer it?

Do you ever have any negotiations with any member of the government regarding these papers?

Mr. Justice—Do I decline to answer that?

Mr. Justice—Presumably these papers were shown to you by Mr. Henwood when he showed them to you that it may be necessary to give secondary evidence.

Justice Beck—Why do you presume they are destroyed?

Mr. Justice—If Mr. Henwood would be as good as any one to give evidence.

Mr. Justice—He possibly might be. It might be necessary at the opening of the inquiry to the legislature.

Mr. Justice—He has seen these documents. I can point out a pretty fair indication to Mr. Henwood to whom to turn them so as to get secondary evidence.

Mr. Justice Scott—Don't you know something about this matter?

Mr. Justice—I would not like to say that I know.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

Mr. Justice—The witness—I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that. I want to be clear about that.

I don't know anything about that. If there has been any attempt made it has not been made through you.

To this extent it is fair to say this that at the time Mr. Henwood originally communicated with me, that was before there was any question whatever of investigation of anything like that.

It was just at the height of the fight in the legislature—and Mr. Corwall thought that the matter, if pushed at that time, might get into the hands of the members of the House, so my present trial, and have an effect on the fortunes of the government.

Mr. Corwall was going to that Saturday and he asked Mr. Clarke to go to Toronto to communicate with a selector, for the purpose of seeing whether a settlement could be arranged on a basis that was reasonably possible.

Did you make any offer of settlement at all?

I decided not to make any offer of settlement at all. As a matter of fact I never got Mr. Henwood down to the point of being able to say any thing except that amount or that amount, on the two successive occasions.

The figures all came from him?

The figures all came from him. I never discussed figures with Mr. Corwall at all.

Or Mr. Henwood?

No, I do not think I ever discussed figures with him at all.

Mr. Henwood was to tell him that the amount he mentioned was not to be discussed.

No suggestion as to the amount to which he was to give secondary evidence.

Don't think so. I remember I had in my mind that if Mr. Henwood could start at \$25,000 I might have him down to about \$5,000. That was the only thing that was really in discussion of it at all.

What transaction was it that these letters had reference to?

All on Minty's Files.

They were the ones that Mr. Henwood had seen?

I can point out the ones on the files.

Tell me shortly what transaction that had reference to.

Yes, they had reference to an attempt that Mr. Hawes was going to make to get the \$25,000 that I had forgotten about—it had reference to an attempt that Mr. Henwood was going to make in the summer of 1907, I think, to loan the bonds of the Athabasca Syndicate in London which the letters was a letter from Mr. Henwood to Mr. Corwall.

He had given to Mr. Hawes to take with him, setting out the position of the Athabasca Syndicate and how the matter could be loaned and how the matter could be handled in London.

Mr. Henwood was in London at that time.

If Mr. Hawes requires a selector in England he will present this letter to you and you will know how to act?

Yes.

By Mr. Walsh—Then the claim Mr. Hawes was making, I understand was based on a collection of the Athabasca and Great Waterways Co. has been made by Mr. Henwood.

Yes, Mr. Minty had some correspondence with Mr. Hawes directly on that subject.

Well, he said that the way in which the claim was put in was in the nature of a claim.

No, my recollection is that the reason I began that way was I had a talk with Mr. Minty about the nature of the claim just the other day, and he and I do not altogether agree. My idea was that what Mr. Henwood was claiming was that in the first place Mr. Minty had not given to the rest of the syndicate some information which (Hawes) had given to Mr. Minty. That was one ground, and the other ground was that Minty and Corwall together had exercised upon Hawes pressure to abandon the rights under the Athabasca Syndicate agreement, and having got him out of the syndicate agreement, they had made the deal with Mr. Clarke whereby they were making a lot of money out of it.

And the funds to which payment was looked for, the sum that Mr. Henwood was demanding was the proceeds of the sale of the bonds of the Athabasca and Great Waterways Co.

No, I did not so understand. My recollection is that that is Mr. Minty's understanding, but my recollection is not—that it was the profits that they were going to make out of the donation generally of the Athabasca and Great Waterways railway. I am not prepared to say that I defined as close as that at all.

Mr. Mackenzie—Mr. Bigger, when you saw Mr. Corwall were considering the payment of a sum of money in reference to that was it the payment of the claim made by Hawes for the purpose of obtaining the letters?

It was merely if he should get some sort of a reasonable arrangement to the whole thing was for the purpose to avoid litigation?

Yes, as an end litigation.

Mr. Farley—I didn't understand you to say that Mr. Bigger when you were answering Mr. Walsh.

The way I put it to Mr. Corwall was this, I said, I am perfectly free to say it, I called him by his first name, and I said "Jim, it's up to you not to let these things cause trouble now." My only impression was I don't know whether I ought to say it because I have really no intention to ground it on, but I thought the whole thing was for the purpose primarily to injure the government. I mean I thought that Hawes had been moved.

At that time?

At that time for that purpose.

That was a good opportunity for him?

And that this perfectly ridiculous claim that they were making was for the purpose of getting something to pay a ridiculous sum of money for papers, and was an attempt to say "Oh, those papers are destroyed," you see, and they were very incriminating.

My idea was that if I could get them out of the government, and if I could get such an amount as would be a legitimate amount to pay in settlement of a litigation, very well, but if there was going to be a claim for any amount that was in figures at all, I mean over a very small amount, then it would be simply paying the hands of the government's mediocrity.

Mr. Corwall was in Ottawa.

I am informed, and I do not wish to say that I am not informed, but I am informed that that letter that Mr. Corwall was the stumbling block in the way.

No, it said he had been.

Up to the time Mr. Corwall had been in the missionary work and had been interviewed with him and had won them over. He had been the stumbling block but now he saw the force of the argument that Mr. Corwall had advanced. That was the way.

And that Mr. Corwall had made arrangements with other members of the government?

Mr. Corwall's recollection is there was nothing of that kind at all. You see the point was my recollection of it—of course one doesn't remember the details, but my recollection of it was that Mr. Corwall had had this time in 1907, about June, my recollection is, been of the opinion that instead of guaranteeing bonds at all it would be wiser for the province to follow the example of Ontario and build their own road up into the north, like the Fenelonville and the Northern Ontario, and that Mr. Corwall had, by dint of interviews extended over the years 1906 and 1907, and concluding with this interview, persuaded Mr. Corwall of the error of his way in that respect.

Do I understand you to say that Mr. Corwall had written to Mr. Hawes that he had made satisfactory arrangements with the rest of the government?

No, there was no suggestion of arrangement; it was simply a question of the attitude of mind.

And how about the attitude of the other members of the government?

As far as my recollection goes, it was not referred to.

Mr. Corwall's attitude absolutely to Mr. Corwall's attitude?

Indifferent than as you remark. It said up to that time Mr. Corwall had been the stumbling block, the interference being caused by Mr. Corwall, the inference was as well as I can.

It didn't say that letter.

Mr. Justice Scott—Are you going to give secondary evidence as to the contents of the document?

Mr. Farley—He seems very willing to give it. He said I wanted to have this thing all finished up.

Mr. Justice Scott—I think you had better drop the matter.

The Re-Examination.

Mr. Walsh—That matter seems to have been in the hands of a political character.

More concern to the government than to Mr. Corwall?

Well, it was for the government that Mr. Corwall—because you see Corwall knew, I had seen Mr. Corwall for years, and I knew that Mr. Corwall's business lay practically altogether in the north, you see, and so that Corwall had unquestionably that extent of knowledge, and I was anxious that nothing should interfere with the only road that gave access to that part of the country.

But your principal concern, whether Mr. Corwall or whether the government should be the one to be defeated?

Well, that was the only reason for the settlement. I will put it that way.

Mr. Corwall had instructions, told me he had instructions at the time I saw him in Ottawa, that he was to issue a writ at once.

I think you misunderstood me. I asked you if you apprehended there would be litigation, or did you apprehend there were and there was that critical time, so as to hold Mr. Corwall up, or speak?

Well, we tried your question into two parts; did I apprehend litigation, yes, unquestionably I did apprehend litigation, I thought that litigation would be started for the purpose of impeding the government, and it might at a time when very little would have been required to insure it, and if it was written for that purpose, that was the case.

A. & G. W. INVESTIGATION

(Continued from Page Three.)

way. I mean that was the only reason together with the question of getting rid of the litigation generally. Were you concerned with that in the Alberta and Great Waterways?

Mr. Johnston—Not at all, no. I don't know how it is that the Toronto solicitor who instructed Mr. Brown in the matter recalled the letters?

That I do not know.

That was not through any arrangement with you?

Had nothing to do with that at all.

Do you know who had?

Well, that was the extent of it, as I say. Mr. Cornwall asked Mr. Clark to see those people and I told Mr. Clark that the firm of Aylesworth, Wright, Moss & Thompson was a firm that had been in the city for some time. I told Mr. Clark that I knew Mr. Jack Moss myself very well.

Statement from Mr. Minny.

Mr. Johnston—Right. I ask, Mr. Minny, might it not be a fair opportunity now to make a very brief explanation of the connection with this letter, promise to be very short if you will kindly allow the interruption of your plans to that extent?

Mr. Minny—Of course I haven't the slightest objection to that if it is at all necessary, but it seems to me we are going a little far to make us set out to clean up at the start.

Mr. Johnston—Mr. Minny feels that he has been personally wronged. He does not think anybody else will agree with him on that.

Mr. Justice Scott—I didn't understand it to be a matter of reflection on Mr. Minny.

Mr. Minny—Your lordships, I would like to say one thing. The letters in the hands of Mr. Walsh. They were all copies of the letters that I was sending to him. I was sending the commission to read that entire correspondence.

Mr. Justice Scott—Understand all the letters with the exception of the letters in the hands of Mr. Walsh.

Mr. Minny—With one exception, the letters of which I am making a foundation to the claim I wish the whole commission to see. I am making a foundation to the claim I wish the whole commission to see. I am making a foundation to the claim I wish the whole commission to see.

Mr. Justice Scott—Of course you are not in a position to say anything about the contents of the letters mentioned by Mr. Cornwall.

Mr. Minny—No, I haven't seen that. But the best evidence is the letters themselves, and no person can read them. The most remarkable evidence of all the facts that I could possibly have knowledge of, and I have decided upon in considering whether I would give the option at that time.

Mr. Minny—Yes, I have seen the letter to the English solicitor is there? What Mr. Bennett was asking about was a shared anticipation of what was there.

J. A. McKINNON.

J. A. McKinnon, having been duly sworn, was examined by Mr. Johnston, and testified as follows:

You are in the employ of the Alberta and Great Waterways Railway? Yes.

What capacity?

First night work.

Have you any way to go in the office?

Nothing at all.

You are in the office when you are in Edmonton, is that correct?

Very little.

Have you custody of any of the files or documents of any kind?

Nothing except my own, which reference to right of way.

That is your own personal correspondence on behalf of the company, do you mean?

My own papers referring to the purchase of right of way only.

How long have you been with the company?

I think it was about the first of November.

Of last year?

Last fall.

Have you held the same position that you now hold ever since?

Entirely.

No other?

Have you at any time had any correspondence of the company in your possession other than the letters which have just mentioned referring to the purchase of right of way?

No correspondence, the only things I have are requests for the local situation, the political situation, and what you mean by that?

Well, when Mr. Clark was in the East.

Mr. Johnston—It would save a lot of time if you would make the same arrangement with Mr. Walsh as we have with the rest of the papers.

Mr. Johnston—If you produce everything that is in your possession, that will be satisfactory.

Have you ever had any documents that you have not got now?

Absolutely none.

No Documents Destroyed.

And have you any knowledge of any documents that ever were in existence in connection with the Alberta and Great Waterways Company that are not now in existence?

Then do I understand that you will produce for inspection, at the office of the Great Waterways Railway here, at any time upon request of the Board, any and every document that you may have in your possession?

I will be most glad to do so.

Who is in charge of the office here?

Mr. Johnston—Mr. Goddard.

And who is his absence?

Mr. Johnston—He is now.

Where is he now?

In the city.

Can you get him conveniently?

Yes, I imagine he will be at the office this afternoon.

It came directly to the House from my office.

Presently, you?

Yes.

Did it not go through the minister?

Well, I don't know whose hands it went through after I handed it to the deputy minister, but I presume it came directly to the House.

You handed it to the deputy minister, you don't know to whom he handed it?

No.

That was Mr. Stocks who was examined here this morning?

And have you examined it lately?

I haven't seen it since.

Would you go to good enough to look at it. I think the top file there is the Edmonton radial railway.

Well, all the railway files were sent out of my care I gather.

If you turn to the first back sheet, I think you will find the first file in the Edmonton radial railway No. 34,001. There is nothing on that that is connected with the Alberta & Great Waterways railway?

No.

That is exclusively Edmonton radial railway?

Yes.

The General Railway File.

That is the first file in the general railway file?

Yes.

That has something on, has it not?

Well, I don't think pertaining to the Alberta & Great Waterways railway as far as I remember. I may say that I have seen it, but I have not seen it since it was first taken from their inception and I tried to keep the correspondence as near to what was intended as possible.

Nothing on that?

Then the next one is the Grand Trunk Pacific general file. There is nothing on that, I think?

Yes.

Then the next one is the Canadian Northern general. That is No. 30,000. Crown North Pass, 32,000.

There is nothing on that?

The next one is Canadian Northern, No. 30.

There is nothing on that? So that the only file that has anything on it, well, is there anything on that next one, No. 20?

I don't remember just what 20 is, but I have seen it. This is the only file that I have had any thing to do with, it is the first time that I have seen 20, R. R. Clarke, Kan.

It is addressed, W. R. Clarke, Kan.

Then there is something on that with a card to the Alberta & Great Waterways railway?

Yes, but I will say that this is the first time I have seen this file. I have never seen that at all.

This is the first time you have seen that file No. 20.

Or any of the papers on it?

No, I did not see them here from myself.

Well, this file has evidently been in the hands of other departments, possibly in Mr. McKinnon's office.

Yes, I have seen it in some of the other correspondence offices.

Can you tell by the number of it, or the nature of the documents on it, or anything else that you see on the file where it would be likely to be kept?

Whose file is it?

Can't tell who would be the direct custodian of it.

Which of the files are you directly in charge of?

The Public Works File.

Yes, I have seen it, but I have not seen it since it was first taken from their inception and I tried to keep the correspondence as near to what was intended as possible.

That is the public works?

Mr. Bigger—What files of the public works department? I mean the rail matters, have you seen anything on that, with speaking generally?

Well, the public works department have nothing to do with the rail matters now at all.

I understand that, then how is it that there are papers on railway matters on file in that department?

Well, I don't know, but I have seen it. I have seen it in some of the other correspondence offices.

Can you tell by the number of it, or the nature of the documents on it, or anything else that you see on the file where it would be likely to be kept?

Whose file is it?

Can't tell who would be the direct custodian of it.

Which of the files are you directly in charge of?

The Public Works File.

Yes, I have seen it, but I have not seen it since it was first taken from their inception and I tried to keep the correspondence as near to what was intended as possible.

That is the public works?

Mr. Bigger—What files of the public works department? I mean the rail matters, have you seen anything on that, with speaking generally?

Well, the public works department have nothing to do with the rail matters now at all.

I understand that, then how is it that there are papers on railway matters on file in that department?

Well, I don't know, but I have seen it. I have seen it in some of the other correspondence offices.

Can you tell by the number of it, or the nature of the documents on it, or anything else that you see on the file where it would be likely to be kept?

Whose file is it?

Can't tell who would be the direct custodian of it.

Which of the files are you directly in charge of?

The Public Works File.

Yes, I have seen it, but I have not seen it since it was first taken from their inception and I tried to keep the correspondence as near to what was intended as possible.

Are you in charge of the Alberta & Great Waterways office?

Presently, you am, sir.

In Edmonton?

I am at the present moment.

What is your position generally with the company?

I am acting as the company.

You have certain files in your possession of documents and correspondence concerning the railway company?

I have the company's books, vouchers, notes of that sort, and all the correspondence of that sort.

All at the office here?

Yes, sir.

Mr. Johnston—And all of those books, I understand, Mr. Robson, will be produced for inspection?

Mr. Robson—Everything that is in Mr. Robson's possession.

Mr. Johnston—Do you know of any office that is not there that ever was in the office bearing on the railway company and its affairs?

No, sir.

Is your organization? What company are you in the employ of the railway company or the construction company?

Both.

Well, treat my questions as applied to the company. If there is any difference to be made between them you can make it in your answers. Have you any knowledge of any documents or correspondence of any kind that ever was in the office that is not now in existence?

No, sir.

Or that ever was in the office that is not now in existence?

It is in the office.

Mr. Johnston—Do you know of any having ever been in the office is there now?

Yes, sir.

How long have you been in charge?

Since February 20th.

And I understand that Mr. Goddard was in charge prior to that?

Yes, sir.

And do you know what happened to Mr. Goddard?

No, not directly, one copy I handed over to Mr. Goddard, the other copy I handed over to Mr. Goddard.

Never saw Goddard before that?

And you ever saw him after that?

I never saw it after it got out of my hands.

For it?

I asked for it, but was not successful in getting it.

At the time you asked for it did you have any information as to what had happened to Mr. Goddard?

Yes, I had information that Mr. Goddard was in the nature of Mr. Stocks.

Further than I understood it was in Mr. Cushing's possession at that time?

Well, had you any information as to why it was not in Mr. Cushing's possession?

I did not.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Well, I did not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession.

Did you not give any explanation to Mr. Goddard as to why it was not in his possession?

Tired?—Nature's Sweet Restorer



Abounding in stimulating goodness, a most healthful and pleasing beverage. Its sustaining and invigorating qualities are beyond dispute.

—Black, Mixed and Natural Green, 40c, 50c, 60c and 70c per lb.—

STARLAND

THE HOME OF QUALITY 3 to 5, 7.30 to 11

SPECIAL! SPECIAL!

A realistic story of man's weakness.

"The Broken Locket"

No matter to what depths a man may fall, there is always at least one awakening, and his future depends upon whether he barks to that call or not.

Try a Bulletin Want Ad.

WITH THE APPROACH OF SPRING YOU WILL REQUIRE

Egg Cases and Fillers

We are prepared to supply these promptly. Also

Lard Cases, Bacon and Ham Cases, Butter Boxes, Etc.

Special boxes made to order.

W. H. CLARK & CO., Limited

846 Ninth Street. Phone 1216.

BRASS CASTINGS - BRONZE CASTINGS

ALUMINUM CASTINGS

Write for Prices

The Canada Metal Co., Ltd.

Long Distance Phone 34 1725. TORONTO, ON.

MONEY TO LOAN

ON

Improved Farms and City Property

at lowest current rates.

NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, Limited

Corner Jasper Ave. and First St., Edmonton.

THE CANADIAN AGENCY

6, Princess Street (Bank)

LONDON - ENGLAND

GOVERNMENT, MUNICIPAL AND CORPORATION BONDS AND DEBENTURES

BOUGHT AND SOLD

Issues Made in London

BANKERS

Bank of Montreal

Messrs. Glyn, Mills, Currie & Co.

H. M. E. EVANS, Local Representative

Empire Block, Corner Jasper Avenue and First Street, EDMONTON

MOSEMIN Elevator Burned.

Montreal, Mass., March 28.—The Dominion Elevator Company's elevator, which was destroyed by fire about three this morning, was a fine structure, built of steel and iron, and was valued at \$100,000. The elevator was used for the purpose of elevating grain to the city of Montreal.

MOSEMIN Elevator Burned.

Montreal, Mass., March 28.—The Dominion Elevator Company's elevator, which was destroyed by fire about three this morning, was a fine structure, built of steel and iron, and was valued at \$100,000. The elevator was used for the purpose of elevating grain to the city of Montreal.

MOSEMIN Elevator Burned.

Montreal, Mass., March 28.—The Dominion Elevator Company's elevator, which was destroyed by fire about three this morning, was a fine structure, built of steel and iron, and was valued at \$100,000. The elevator was used for the purpose of elevating grain to the city of Montreal.

MOSEMIN Elevator Burned.

Montreal, Mass., March 28.—The Dominion Elevator Company's elevator, which was destroyed by fire about three this morning, was a fine structure, built of steel and iron, and was valued at \$100,000. The elevator was used for the purpose of elevating grain to the city of Montreal.

MOSEMIN Elevator Burned.

Montreal, Mass., March 28.—The Dominion Elevator Company's elevator, which was destroyed by fire about three this morning, was a fine structure, built of steel and iron, and was valued at \$100,000. The elevator was used for the purpose of elevating grain to the city of Montreal.

WITH THE FARMERS

CHICAGO GRAIN MARKETS

Chicago, Ill., March 31.—The crop months in wheat refused to budge, even though the existence of a large surplus of "warmed over" reports of losses in Kansas, Missouri, Illinois and other states. The market, however, in September when drought threatened the wheat crop was not so much affected and many of the old crops. It was a week ago that the market was in a state of confusion, and the wheat was selling at a price of 1.10 to 1.15 per bushel. The market was then in a state of confusion, and the wheat was selling at a price of 1.10 to 1.15 per bushel. The market was then in a state of confusion, and the wheat was selling at a price of 1.10 to 1.15 per bushel.

While it is not the intention of the weather to disorganize the crops in the West, it is a fact that the weather is likely to be a factor in the wheat market.

Big Furniture Auction Sale

SATURDAY, APRIL 2

Big Sale Saturday Night

Full particulars to morrow

MITCHELL & REED

AUCTIONEERS. PHONE 2150

GORMALLY, TILT & CO.

BROKERS

32-34 Adelaide St. E., Toronto.

Members Ontario Mining and

Stock Exchange.

Write us for up-to-date information on listed and unlisted stocks.

SPECIAL

AUCTION SALE

at 809 N. MAYNARD AVE.

FRIDAY, APRIL 1

at 2 o'clock.

When the following goods will be sold:

1 Solid oak sideboard.

2 Wardrobes.

Dining and kitchen tables and chairs.

4 Center tables.

2 Dressers and commodes.

1 Combination dresser and commode.

Iron and wood beds, springs and mattresses.

1 Kitchen range.

4 Heaters.

2 Folding beds.

1 Child's crib.

2 Cradles.

Linens, carpets, large quantity of glass ware, etc.

100,000 acres in Beaver Lake District.

40,000 acres in Lashburn District.

10,000 in Inlay District.

20,000 acres in Hatfield District.

All having good railway facilities.

JAS. A. POWELL

Edmonton, Alberta

Oats are in Good Demand

WITH PRICES AND SHIPPING DIRECTIONS.

It pays to have your grain handled by a STRICTLY COMMISSION FIRM.

THOMPSON, SONS & COMPANY

General Commission Merchants 123 W. Grain Exchange, Winnipeg.

PHONE 2150

THE STONE WITH CO'S AUCTIONEERS

Auction Rooms and Offices Jasper Avenue, East

FURNITURE SALES a Speciality

REGULAR SATURDAY

AUCTION SALE

Mitchell & Reed's Auction Rooms

Big Furniture Auction Sale

SATURDAY, APRIL 2

Big Sale Saturday Night

Full particulars to morrow

MITCHELL & REED

AUCTIONEERS. PHONE 2150

GORMALLY, TILT & CO.

BROKERS

32-34 Adelaide St. E., Toronto.

Members Ontario Mining and

Stock Exchange.

Write us for up-to-date information on listed and unlisted stocks.

SPECIAL

AUCTION SALE

at 809 N. MAYNARD AVE.

FRIDAY, APRIL 1

at 2 o'clock.

When the following goods will be sold:

1 Solid oak sideboard.

2 Wardrobes.

Dining and kitchen tables and chairs.

4 Center tables.

2 Dressers and commodes.

1 Combination dresser and commode.

Iron and wood beds, springs and mattresses.

1 Kitchen range.

4 Heaters.

2 Folding beds.

1 Child's crib.

2 Cradles.

Linens, carpets, large quantity of glass ware, etc.

100,000 acres in Beaver Lake District.

40,000 acres in Lashburn District.

10,000 in Inlay District.

20,000 acres in Hatfield District.

All having good railway facilities.

JAS. A. POWELL

Edmonton, Alberta

Oats are in Good Demand

WITH PRICES AND SHIPPING DIRECTIONS.

It pays to have your grain handled by a STRICTLY COMMISSION FIRM.

THOMPSON, SONS & COMPANY

General Commission Merchants 123 W. Grain Exchange, Winnipeg.

FOR SALE—REAL ESTATE.

A KNAP TWO GOOD ACRES LOTS

near the Alberta and north end of

Alberta Avenue. Price only \$475 each

good terms.

ACRE LOT ON ST. ALBERT TRAIL,

corner of Alberta and north end of

Alberta Avenue. Price only \$2,000, good terms.

CORNELIUS MIDGLOTH AVENUE

for quick sale, only \$2,000, good terms.

ACRE LOTS ON ALBERTA AVENUE

We have several beautiful acre lots

on Alberta avenue, just adjoining city

limits, in some cases double per acre

on easy terms.

WANTED

WANTED TO RENT AT ONCE—

farm close to city limits, hands to

other locations; also acreage for market

gardening, close to city. Apply 409 Na-

mayno Ave., Phone 266.

WANTED—30 MEN TO TAKE PART

in the prize shooting contest, each

prize \$100.00. Apply to J. H. Jasper

and between 4th and 8th Sts.

State terms. Apply Box 16, Bulletin.

ROOMS FOR RENT.

FOR RENT—3 ROOM FLAT, ST. ALBERT

TRAIL, near the Alberta and north end of

Alberta Avenue. Price only \$2,000, good terms.

FOR RENT—APARTMENTS, FOUR

rooms and bathroom, modern conven-

iences, in apartment house, 10th and

East; rent low. Apply McDonald &

Tubbs, Windsor Hotel.

ROOMS, WITH OR WITHOUT

bath, all conveniences, in new build-

ing, from Jasper, Gregoryville, 15th St.

ROOMS TO LET—LARGE FRONT

room in modern house, second floor

only. Apply 409 N. Mayno Ave., Box 16,

if desired.

FOR RENT—THREE UNFURNISHED

rooms, bedroom, living room, kitchen

with range and sink; electric

light, use of phone and bath. Arrang-

ing on 10th and 12th Sts., Box 16.

HALL TO RENT—MITCHELL &

Reed's Auction Rooms, corner Al-

berta and Jasper Aves., East, can be

rented for parties, meetings, etc. Ter-

ms. Phone 2150.

FOR RENT—RESIDENCES

FOR RENT—MODERN FURNISHED

home on Strathcona St., Apply 409 Na-

mayno Ave., Phone 266.

Crookery ware, comprising plates of all

types, cups, saucers, pitchers, cake

dishes, water pitchers and tureens, tea

and coffee service, etc., all in good

condition. Quantity of fancy china ware, etc.

Flowers, comprising everything used in

kitchen and parlor. Also a large quan-

ty of groceries, tea, sugar, canned fruit,

pickles, baking soda, cereals, tallow,

iron, soap, etc.

Also a quantity of new hardware.

Don't miss this sale.

809 N. MAYNARD AVE.

THE NAMAYO TRADING CO.

Phone 2150

AUCTION SALE

in our rooms

31 N. MAYNARD AVE.

THURS., MARCH 31

at 7:30 p.m.

When the following goods will be sold:

1 Solid oak sideboard.

2 Wardrobes.

Dining and kitchen tables and chairs.

4 Center tables.

2 Dressers and commodes.

1 Combination dresser and commode.

Iron and wood beds, springs and mattresses.

1 Kitchen range.

4 Heaters.

2 Folding beds.

1 Child's crib.

2 Cradles.

Linens, carpets, large quantity of glass ware, etc.

SITUATION WANTED

WANTED BY CAPABLE WOMAN

house-keeping by the day. Apply

Box 16, Bulletin.

FIRST-CLASS FEMALE COOK OFFER

for engagement, good references. Ap-

ply Box 16, Bulletin.

WANTED—SITUATIONS BY TWO

Frenchmen, 30 years old, as cook

and chambermaid. Apply 409 Na-

mayno Ave., Edmonton.

BUTTERMAKER, EXPERIENCED

desires position as same, holds regis-

ter's certificate. Apply Box 16, Bulletin.

PIESENMAN, EXPERIENCED ON

clippers and platform, desires posi-

tion in first class work and references. Box

16, Bulletin.

MISCELLANEOUS

WANTED—FURNISHED MODERN

home, close to city, well and modern

garden, close to city. Apply 409 Na-

mayno Ave., Phone 266.

ALBERTA METAL CO., FIRST ST.

Buyer of bottles, rubbers, metal of all

kinds. Drop postal, will call

promptly.

EDMONTON HORSE EXCHANGE

100 N. Mayno Ave. Horse drivers, and

others, heavy draft horses a specialty.

Home and farm horses, harness, and

equipment. Second-hand harness. Terms

and prices on application. Phone 266.

NOTICE—VIATY OFFICE, 108 SYD-

NEY ST., opposite Alberta Hotel, corner

of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta

Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite

Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th

Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of

10th and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta

Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th Sts.,

opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th

and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel,

corner of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite

Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th

Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of

10th and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta

Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th Sts.,

opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th

and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel,

corner of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite

Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th

Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of

10th and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta

Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th Sts.,

opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th

and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel,

corner of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite

Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th

Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of

10th and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta

Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th Sts.,

opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th

and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel,

corner of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite

Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th

Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of

10th and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta

Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th Sts.,

opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th

and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel,

corner of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite

Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th

Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of

10th and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta

Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th Sts.,

opposite Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th

and 12th Sts., opposite Alberta Hotel,

corner of 10th and 12th Sts., opposite

Alberta Hotel, corner of 10th and 12th

